	JV-431
CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
•	

	SIX-MONTH PREPERMANENCY ATTACHMENT: CHILD REUNIFIED (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.21(e))
or ph	eturn of the child to his or her parent or legal guardian would not create a substantial risk of detriment to the safety, protection, ysical or emotional well-being of the child, and the child's placement in foster care is no longer necessary or appropriate. The all basis for this conclusion is stated on the record.
Permane	ent plan
2.	The permanent plan of reunification is appropriate and is ordered as the permanent plan.
	<ul> <li>a.</li></ul>
Education	on
3.	The mother biological father Indian custodian presumed father other (specify):
	must ensure the child's regular school attendance and make reasonable efforts to obtain the education services necessary to meet the child's specific needs.
4.	Child 16 years of age or older: The child was in foster care at 16 years of age and remains eligible for independent living services. The county agency will provide those services as stated in the child's case plan and Transitional Independent Living Plan.