

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

**SIX-MONTH PERMANENCY ATTACHMENT:  
REUNIFICATION SERVICES TERMINATED  
(Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.21(e))**

1. By a preponderance of the evidence, the return of the child to his or her parent or legal guardian would create a substantial risk of detriment to the safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being of the child. The factual basis for this conclusion is stated on the record.

**Placement**

2. **The child's out-of-home placement is necessary.**
3.  **The child's current placement is appropriate.**
4.  **The child's current placement is not appropriate.** The county agency must locate an appropriate placement for the child.
- a.  The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-430, item 26 for a  written  oral report by the county agency on the progress made in locating an appropriate placement.
- b.  Other (*specify*):
5.  There has been a change in the child's placement, and the child is an Indian child or there is reason to know that the child is an Indian child. Currently (*choose one*):
- a.  The child is placed with a member of the child's extended family as defined by 25 U.S.C. § 1903; or
- b.  A diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended family, the efforts are documented in detail in the record, and the child is placed in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe; or
- c.  A diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended family, in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe, the efforts are documented in detail in the record, and the child is placed in an Indian foster home licensed or approved by an authorized non-Indian licensing authority; or
- d.  A diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended family, in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe, or in an Indian foster home licensed or approved by an authorized non-Indian licensing authority, the efforts are documented in detail in the record, and the child is placed in an institution for children approved by an Indian tribe or operated by an Indian organization that has a program suitable to meet the Indian child's needs; or
- e.  The child is placed in accordance with the preferences established by the tribe; or
- f.  The court finds by clear and convincing evidence that there is good cause to depart from the placement preferences based on the reasons set out in the record.
6.  **The child is placed outside the state of California and that out-of-state placement**
- a.  continues to be the most appropriate placement for the child and is in the best interest of the child.
- b.  does not continue to be the most appropriate placement for the child and is not in the best interest of the child. The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-430, item 26 for a  written  oral report by the county agency on the progress made toward
- (1)  returning the child to California and locating an appropriate placement within California.
- (2)  locating an out-of-state placement that is the most appropriate placement for the child and in the best interest of the child.
- (3)  Other (*specify*):

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

**Reunification services**

7.  The child is an Indian child or there is reason to know that the child is an Indian child, and as set out in detail in the record:
- a.  Affirmative, active, thorough, and timely efforts  have  have not been made to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family;
  - b. These efforts  did  did not include assisting the parent(s) or Indian custodian through the steps of the case plan and with accessing or developing the resources necessary to satisfy the case plan;
  - c. To the maximum extent possible, the efforts  were  were not provided in a manner consistent with the prevailing social and cultural conditions and way of life of the child's tribe; and
  - d. These efforts and the case plan  have  have not been developed and conducted to the maximum extent possible in partnership with the Indian child, the parents, extended family members, Indian custodians, and the tribe, and utilized the available resources of the Indian child's extended family, tribe, tribal and other Indian social service agencies, and individual Indian caregiver service providers.
  - e. The active efforts have proved  successful  unsuccessful.
8.  The child is an Indian child or there is reason to know that the child is an Indian child, and:
- a.  Qualified expert witness testimony was provided by \_\_\_\_\_ ; and
  - b.  Evidence regarding the prevailing social and cultural practices of the child's tribe was provided; and
  - c.  There was clear and convincing evidence that continued physical custody by the following person is likely to cause serious emotional or physical damage to the child:
    - mother  biological father  legal guardian
    - presumed father  Indian custodian
    - other (*specify*): \_\_\_\_\_
9.  **Reunification services terminated: Child under age of three years at time of removal or member of sibling group**
- a.  The child was under the age of three years on the date of the initial removal from the home.
  - b.  The child and the child's siblings listed below form a sibling group in which one child in the sibling group was under the age of three years at the time of the initial removal, and all children in the sibling group were removed from parental custody at the same time.
    - (1) \_\_\_\_\_
    - (2) \_\_\_\_\_
    - (3) \_\_\_\_\_
    - (4) \_\_\_\_\_
    - (5) \_\_\_\_\_
    - (6) \_\_\_\_\_
  - c. By clear and convincing evidence the
    - mother  biological father  Indian custodian
    - presumed father  legal guardian
    - other (*specify*): \_\_\_\_\_
 failed to participate regularly and make substantive progress in a court-ordered treatment plan. Reunification services are terminated.
  - d. Scheduling a hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26 for this child and some or all members of the sibling group is in the child's best interest. The factual basis for this finding is stated on the record.

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

10.  **Reunification services terminated: Child of any age**

- a.  Reunification services are terminated for the
- |   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> mother           | <input type="checkbox"/> biological father | <input type="checkbox"/> Indian custodian |
| <input type="checkbox"/> presumed father  | <input type="checkbox"/> legal guardian    |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (specify): |  |   |
- because the child was initially removed from the person indicated under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 300(g) and, by clear and convincing evidence,
- (1)  the person's whereabouts remain unknown.
- (2)  the person has not had contact with the child for six months.
- b.  Reunification services are terminated for the
- |   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> mother           | <input type="checkbox"/> biological father | <input type="checkbox"/> Indian custodian |
| <input type="checkbox"/> presumed father  | <input type="checkbox"/> legal guardian    |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (specify): |  |   |
- because, by clear and convincing evidence, that person has been convicted of a felony indicating parental unfitness.
- c.  Reunification services are terminated for the
- |   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> mother           | <input type="checkbox"/> biological father | <input type="checkbox"/> Indian custodian |
| <input type="checkbox"/> presumed father  | <input type="checkbox"/> legal guardian    |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (specify): |  |   |
- because it is determined that the person is deceased.

11. The county agency  has  has not exercised due diligence to locate an appropriate relative with whom the child could be placed. Each relative whose name has been submitted to the department  has  has not been evaluated.

**Important individuals**

12.  **Child in out-of-home placement for six months or longer**

- a.  The county agency has made efforts to identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationship with those individuals, consistent with the child's best interest.
- b.  The county agency has **not** made efforts to identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationship with those individuals, consistent with the child's best interest.
- c.  To identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationships with those individuals, the county agency must provide the services
- (1)  as stated on the record.
- (2)  as follows:

**Health**

13.  The  mother  biological father  other (specify):

<input type="checkbox"/> presumed father	<input type="checkbox"/> legal guardian	
--	---	--

is  unable  unwilling  unavailable to make decisions regarding the child's needs for medical, surgical, dental, or other remedial care, and the right to make these decisions is suspended under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 369 and vested with the county agency.

**Setting for selection of permanent plan**

14.  a. **The matter is ordered set for hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26 to select the most appropriate permanent plan for the child.**
- b. By clear and convincing evidence reasonable services have been provided or offered to the child's parents, legal guardian, or Indian custodian.
- c. The county agency and the licensed county adoption agency or the California Department of Social Services, acting as an adoption agency, will prepare and serve an assessment report as described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.21(i).



