

NONMINOR'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
------------------	--------------

DISPOSITIONAL ATTACHMENT: NONMINOR DEPENDENT

1. Reasonable efforts were were not made to prevent or eliminate the need for the nonminor's removal from the home.
2. Placement and care are vested with the county agency.
3. The county agency has has not exercised due diligence to locate an appropriate relative with whom the nonminor could be placed. Each relative whose name has been submitted to the department has has not been evaluated.
4. The nonminor dependent who is an Indian child has has not chosen to have the Indian Child Welfare Act apply to them as a nonminor dependent.
5. There was no inquiry or determination of whether the nonminor dependent was an Indian child before the nonminor dependent's 18th birthday:
 - a. The nonminor dependent would like an Indian Child Welfare Act determination. The county agency is ordered to comply with rule 5.481 of the California Rules of Court.
 - b. The nonminor dependent would not like an Indian Child Welfare Act determination.
6. Family reunification services are ordered under Welfare and Institutions Code section 361.6:
 - a. The nonminor dependent and parents or guardians are in agreement with court-ordered family reunification services.
 - b. The provision of family reunification services is in the best interests of the nonminor dependent.
 - c. There is a substantial probability that the nonminor dependent will be able to safely reside in the home of the parent or guardian by the next review hearing.
7. Check one:
 - a. A status review hearing will be held within 60 days on the date specified in item 30; the court makes no further findings and orders.
 - b. The court proceeds to the remaining findings and orders.

THE COURT MUST CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER THE NONMINOR DISPOSITION HEARING OR AFTER A NONMINOR DEPENDENT STATUS REVIEW HEARING WITHIN 60 DAYS

8.
 - a. The nonminor dependent's continued placement is necessary.
 - b. The nonminor dependent's continued placement is no longer necessary.
9.
 - a. The nonminor dependent's current placement is appropriate.
 - b. The nonminor dependent's current placement is not appropriate. The county agency and the nonminor dependent must work collaboratively to locate an appropriate placement.
10. The nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan includes a plan to satisfy at least one of the criteria in Welfare and Institutions Code section 11403(b) to remain in foster care under juvenile court jurisdiction as indicated below:
 - a. Attending high school or a high school equivalency certificate (GED) program.
 - b. Attending a college, community college, or vocational education program.
 - c. Attending a program or participating in an activity that will promote or help remove a barrier to employment.
 - d. Employed at least 80 hours per month.
 - e. The nonminor is incapable of attending a high school, high school equivalency certificate (GED) program, college, community college, vocational education program, or an employment program or activity, or working 80 hours per month because of a medical condition.
11. The county agency has has not made reasonable efforts and provided assistance to help the nonminor dependent establish and maintain compliance with one of the conditions in Welfare and Institutions Code section 11403(b).
12. The nonminor dependent was was not provided with the information, documents, and services required under Welfare and Institutions Code section 391.

NONMINOR'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
------------------	--------------

13. The Transitional Independent Living Case Plan was was not developed jointly by the nonminor dependent and the county agency.
14. The nonminor dependent has elected to have the Indian Child Welfare Act apply; the representative from their tribe was was not consulted during the development of the nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan.
15. The nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan does does not reflect the living situation and services consistent, in the nonminor dependent's opinion, with what they need to achieve successful adulthood and sets out benchmarks that indicate how both the county agency and the nonminor dependent will know when independence can be achieved.
16. The nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan does does not include appropriate and meaningful independent living skill services that will help the nonminor transition from foster care to successful adulthood.
17. The county agency has has not made reasonable efforts to comply with the nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan, including efforts to finalize the nonminor's permanent plan and prepare them for independence.
18. For a permanent plan of another planned permanent living arrangement, the county agency has has not made ongoing and intensive efforts to finalize the permanent plan.
19. The nonminor dependent did did not sign and receive a copy of the Transitional Independent Living Case Plan.
20. The county agency has has not made reasonable efforts to maintain relations between the nonminor dependent and individuals who are important to the nonminor, including efforts to establish and maintain relationships with caring and committed adults who can serve as lifelong connections.
21. a. The extent of progress made by the nonminor dependent toward meeting the Transitional Independent Living Case Plan goals has been: excellent satisfactory minimal.
- b. The modifications to the Transitional Independent Living Case Plan goals needed to assist the nonminor dependent in their efforts to attain those goals were stated on the record.
22. The county agency has has not made reasonable efforts to establish or maintain the nonminor dependent's relationship with siblings who are under juvenile court jurisdiction.
23. The likely date by which the nonminor dependent is anticipated to achieve successful adulthood is:
24. The nonminor dependent's permanent plan is:
- a. to return home.
- b. adoption.
- c. tribal customary adoption.
- d. placement with a fit and willing relative.
- e. another planned permanent living arrangement.
- f. Other (*specify*):
25. For a permanent plan of another planned permanent living arrangement,
- a. the court has asked the nonminor dependent about their desired permanency outcome.
- b. The court has considered the evidence before it and finds another planned permanent living arrangement is the best permanent plan because:
- (1) the nonminor is 18 or older.
- (2) Other (*specify*):
- c. The compelling reasons why other permanent plan options are not in the nonminor's best interest are that
- (1) the nonminor wants to live independently.
- (2) Other (*specify*):

NONMINOR'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
------------------	--------------

26. Family reunification services are ordered under Welfare and Institutions Code section 361.6:
- a. The county agency has has not complied with the case plan by making reasonable efforts—or in the case of an Indian child, active efforts, as described in section 361.7—to create a safe home for the nonminor dependent to reside in or to complete whatever steps are necessary to finalize the permanent placement of the nonminor.
 - b. The extent of progress that the parents or legal guardians have made toward alleviating or mitigating the causes necessitating placement in foster care has been excellent satisfactory minimal none.
 - c. The likely date by which the nonminor dependent may safely reside in the family home or achieve successful adulthood is:
27. It appears that juvenile court jurisdiction over the nonminor dependent may no longer be necessary, and a hearing to consider termination of juvenile court jurisdiction under rule 5.555 of the California Rules of Court is ordered.
28. The nonminor dependent has elected not to remain in foster care. A hearing to consider termination of juvenile court jurisdiction under rule 5.555 of the California Rules of Court within 30 days is ordered.
29. Other findings and orders
- a. See attachment 29a.
 - b. (specify):
30. The next hearings are scheduled as follows:
- a. Nonminor dependent status review hearing (Welfare and Institutions Code §366.31; California Rules of Court, rule 5.903)

Hearing date:	Time:	Dept.:	Room:
---------------	-------	--------	-------
 - b. Hearing to consider termination of jurisdiction (Welfare and Institutions Code §391; California Rules of Court, rule 5.555)

Hearing date:	Time:	Dept.:	Room:
---------------	-------	--------	-------
 - c. Other (specify):

Hearing date:	Time:	Dept.:	Room:
---------------	-------	--------	-------
31. Number of pages attached: _____