



ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: STATE BAR NO.: NAME: FIRM NAME: STREET ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP CODE: TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO.: E-MAIL ADDRESS: ATTORNEY FOR (name):		FOR COURT USE ONLY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: BRANCH NAME:		
NONMINOR'S NAME: NONMINOR'S DATE OF BIRTH: HEARING DATE AND TIME:		
FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER NONMINOR DEPENDENT STATUS REVIEW HEARING		
JUDICIAL OFFICER:		COURT CLERK:
BAILIFF:		OTHER COURT PERSONNEL:
		COURT REPORTER: INTERPRETER: LANGUAGE:

- | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|
| 1. Parties (name):
a. Nonminor dependent:
b. Probation officer:
c. County agency social worker:
d. Other (specify): | <u>Present</u>
 | <u>Attorney (name):</u> | <u>Present</u>
 |
| 2. Tribal representative (name): | | | |
| 3. Others present in courtroom:
a. Other (specify):
b. Other (specify):
c. Other (specify):
d. Other (specify): | | | |
| 4. The court has read, and considered, and admits into evidence:
a. <input type="radio"/> Report of social worker dated:
b. <input type="radio"/> Report of probation officer dated:
c. <input type="radio"/> Other (specify):
d. <input type="radio"/> Other (specify): | | | |

BASED ON THE FOREGOING AND ON ALL OTHER EVIDENCE RECEIVED, THE COURT FINDS AND ORDERS:

5. Notice of the date, time, and location of the hearing was given as required by law.
6. **The nonminor dependent's continued placement is necessary.**
7. **The nonminor dependent's continued placement is no longer necessary.**
8. The nonminor dependent's current placement is appropriate.
9. The nonminor dependent's current placement is not appropriate. The county agency and the nonminor dependent must work collaboratively to locate an appropriate placement.

NONMINOR'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
------------------	--------------

10. The nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan does include a plan for him or her to satisfy at least one of the criteria in Welfare and Institutions Code section 11403(b) to remain in foster care under juvenile court jurisdiction as indicated below:
 - a. Attending high school or a high school equivalency certificate (GED) program.
 - b. Attending a college, a community college, or a vocational education program.
 - c. Attending a program or participating in an activity that will promote or help remove a barrier to employment.
 - d. Employed at least 80 hours per month.
 - e. The nonminor dependent is not able to attend a high school, a high school equivalency certificate (GED) program, a college, a community college, a vocational education program, or an employment program or activity or to work 80 hours per month due to a medical condition.
11. The county agency has has not made reasonable efforts and provided assistance to help the nonminor dependent establish and maintain compliance with one of the conditions in Welfare and Institutions Code section 11403(b).
12. The nonminor dependent was was not provided with the information, documents, and services as required under Welfare and Institutions Code section 391(c).
13. The Transitional Independent Living Case Plan was was not developed jointly by the nonminor dependent and the county agency.
14. For the nonminor dependent who has elected to have the Indian Child Welfare Act continue to apply, the representative from his or her tribe was was not consulted during the development of the nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan.
15. The nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan does does not reflect the living situation and services consistent, in the nonminor dependent's opinion, with what he or she needs to achieve successful adulthood and set out benchmarks that indicate how both the county agency and nonminor dependent will know when successful adulthood can be achieved.
16. The nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan does does not include appropriate and meaningful independent living skill services that will help the youth transition from foster care to successful adulthood.
17. The county agency has has not made reasonable efforts to comply with the nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan, including efforts to finalize the youth's permanent plan and prepare him or her for independence.
18. The county agency has has not made ongoing and intensive efforts to finalize the permanent plan.
19. The nonminor dependent did did not sign and receive a copy of his or her Transitional Independent Living Case Plan.
20.
 - a. The extent of progress made by the nonminor dependent toward meeting the Transitional Independent Living Case Plan goals has been excellent satisfactory minimal.
 - b. The modifications to the Transitional Independent Living Case Plan goals needed to assist the nonminor dependent in his or her efforts to attain those goals were stated on the record.
21. The county agency has has not exercised due diligence to locate an appropriate relative with whom the nonminor could be placed. Each relative whose name has been submitted to the department has has not been evaluated.
22. The county agency has has not made reasonable efforts to maintain relations between the nonminor dependent and individuals who are important to him or her, including efforts to establish and maintain relationships with caring and committed adults who can serve as lifelong connections.
23. The county agency has has not made reasonable efforts to establish or maintain the nonminor dependent's relationship with his or her siblings who are under juvenile court jurisdiction.
24. The likely date by which it is anticipated the nonminor dependent will achieve successful adulthood is:
25. It appears that juvenile court jurisdiction over the nonminor may no longer be necessary, and a hearing to consider termination of juvenile court jurisdiction under rule 5.555 of the California Rules of Court is ordered.

NONMINOR'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
------------------	--------------

26. At a hearing under rule 5.555 of the California Rules of Court held on the date below, the juvenile court entered the findings and orders as recorded on the *Findings and Orders After Hearing to Consider Termination of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction Over a Nonminor* (form JV-367), and juvenile court jurisdiction is terminated under those findings and orders.

27. Juvenile court jurisdiction over the youth as a nonminor dependent is continued and

a. The youth's permanent plan is:

- (1) Return home
- (2) Adoption
- (3) Tribal customary adoption
- (4) Placement with a fit and willing relative
- (5) Another planned permanent living arrangement
- (6) Other (*specify*):

b. For nonminors placed in another planned permanent living arrangement, the court has considered the evidence before it and finds that another planned permanent living arrangement is still the best permanent plan because:

- (1) The nonminor is 18 or older.
- (2) Other (*specify*):

The compelling reasons why other permanent plan options are not in the nonminor's best interest are:

- (1) The nonminor wants to live independently.
- (2) Other (*specify*):

c. Family reunification services are continued.

d. The matter is continued for a hearing set under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.31, and rule 5.903 of the California Rules of Court within the next six months.

28. **All prior orders not in conflict with this order remain in full force and effect.**

29. Other findings and orders

- a. See attachment 29a.
- b. (*Specify*):

30. Additional findings and orders for nonminor dependent with case plan of continued family reunification services

- a. The agency has has not complied with the case plan by making reasonable efforts to create a safe home for the nonminor dependent to reside in and to complete whatever steps are necessary to finalize the permanent plan.
- b. The extent of progress made toward alleviating or mitigating the causes necessitating the current out-of-home placement has been

- (1) by the father:
- (2) by the mother:
- (3) by the nonminor:
- (4) other (*specify*):

c. The likely date by which the nonminor dependent may safely reside in the family home or achieve successful adulthood is:

d. (1) The nonminor can safely reside in the family home and may return to the family home.

- (a) The court maintains jurisdiction under Welfare and Institutions Code section 303(a) and a review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.31 is ordered.
- (b) It appears that juvenile court jurisdiction over the nonminor may no longer be necessary, and a hearing to consider termination of juvenile court jurisdiction under Welfare and Institutions Code section 391 and rule 5.555 of the California Rules of Court is ordered.

NONMINOR'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
------------------	--------------

30. d. (2) The nonminor cannot safely reside in the family home, and reunification services are continued.
- (a) The nonminor dependent and parent(s) or guardian(s) are in agreement with the continuation of reunification services.
 - (b) Continued reunification services are in the best interest of the nonminor dependent.
 - (c) There is a substantial probability that the nonminor dependent will be able to safely reside in the family home by the next review hearing.
 - (d) The matter is continued for a review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.31 and rule 5.903 of the California Rules of Court within the next six months.
- (3) The nonminor cannot safely reside in the family home and reunification services are terminated (*check all that apply*).
- (a) The nonminor dependent and parent(s) or guardian(s) are not in agreement with the continuation of reunification services.
 - (b) Continued reunification services are not in the best interest of the nonminor dependent.
 - (c) There is not a substantial probability that the nonminor dependent will be able to safely reside in the family home by the next review hearing.

31. Additional findings and orders for nonminor residing in the home of a parent or former legal guardian
- a. (1) It appears that juvenile court jurisdiction over the nonminor may no longer be necessary, and a hearing to consider termination of juvenile court jurisdiction under Welfare and Institutions Code section 391 and rule 5.555 of the California Rules of Court is ordered.
 - (2) Court supervision and juvenile court jurisdiction continues to be necessary. The court maintains jurisdiction under Welfare and Institutions Code section 303(a). The matter is continued for a review hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.31 and rule 5.903 of the California Rules of Court within the next six months.
 - b. The county agency has has not complied with the case plan by making reasonable efforts to maintain a safe family home for the nonminor.
 - c. The county agency has has not complied with the nonminor's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan, including efforts to prepare the nonminor for successful adulthood.

32. **The next hearings are scheduled as follows:**

- a. Nonminor dependent status review hearing (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.31; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.903)

Hearing date:	Time:	Dept:	Room:
---------------	-------	-------	-------

- b. Hearing to consider termination of jurisdiction under rule 5.555 of the California Rules of Court.

Hearing date:	Time:	Dept:	Room:
---------------	-------	-------	-------

- c. Other (*specify*):

Hearing date:	Time:	Dept:	Room:
---------------	-------	-------	-------

33. Number of pages attached: _____

Date: _____

JUDICIAL OFFICER